

Loders Parish Council distributed its CLIMATE & ECOLOGICAL EMERGENCY QUESTIONNAIRE door to door in May 2021. It was also available to complete electronically on Loders Parish website. The original questionnaire can be accessed [here](#).

This table presents the findings:

LODERS PARISH CLIMATE & ECOLOGICAL EMERGENCY QUESTIONNAIRE RESULTS																				
returns	Transport								Renewable Energy				Natural Assets							
	A: car share		B: non-road route		C: EV charging		D: public transport		A: Parish potential		B: domestic improvements		A: River Asker		B: trees		C: wildlife		D: publicity	
	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗
paper (36)	24	9	28	6	28	5	33	1	29	6	30	5	32	3	32	3	32	3	33	2
%	67	25	78	17	78	14	92	3	81	17	83	14	89	8	89	8	89	8	92	6
web (24)	14	10	20	4	19	5	22	2	18	6	22	2	21	3	20	4	19	5	19	5
%	58	42	83	17	79	21	92	8	75	25	92	8	88	12	83	17	79	21	79	21
s/total	38	19	48	10	47	10	55	3	47	12	52	7	53	6	52	7	51	8	52	7
<b>TOTAL 60</b>	<b>57</b>		<b>58</b>		<b>57</b>		<b>58</b>		<b>59</b>		<b>59</b>		<b>59</b>		<b>59</b>		<b>59</b>		<b>59</b>	
<b>%</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>12</b>

A total of 258 paper questionnaires were delivered door to door.

The Council received 60 returns (36 paper & 24 web-based). There were a few blanks in the paper returns which accounts for the discrepancies in paper totals. The last census (2011) lists 272 households in Loders Parish, of which 44 were second homes. 60 returns represent a response rate of 22% of total households.

The questionnaire encouraged residents to contribute 'other suggestions'. The comments received provide very useful information, though more difficult to quantify.

This is a brief summary of 'other suggestions':

<b>Transport</b>
<p><b>A: car share</b> pros and cons were fairly evenly balanced</p>
<p><b>B: non-road route</b> this issue elicited more comments than any other with supporters slightly out-numbering detractors</p>
<p><b>C: EV charging</b> responses were more negative than positive</p>
<p><b>D: public transport</b> mostly positive comments</p>
<b>Renewable Energy</b>
<p><b>A: Parish potential</b> responses were more negative than positive. Residents were particularly concerned to preserve natural beauty</p>
<p><b>B: domestic improvements</b> mostly positive comments</p>
<b>Natural Assets</b>
<p><b>A: River Asker</b> mostly positive comments</p>
<p><b>B: trees</b> completely positive comments and suggestions that hedgerow improvements are included</p>
<p><b>C: wildlife</b> mostly positive comments</p>
<p><b>D: publicity</b> desire for action rather than publicity</p>

View the full list of 'other suggestions' including appendices of scanned written submissions.